arXiv:math/0701720v1 [math.AG] 24 Jan 2007

Remarks on surfaces with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ having non-trivial 2-torsion

Masaaki Murakami

Abstract

We will show that any complex minimal surface of general type with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ having non-trivial 2-torsion divisors, where c_1^2 and χ are the first Chern number and the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf respectively, has the Euler characteristic χ not exceeding 4. We will also give a complete description for the surfaces of the case $\chi = 4$.

1 Introduction

In the present paper, we will show that any minimal complex surface with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ having non-trivial 2-torsion divisors, where c_1^2 and χ are the first Chern number and the Euler Characteristic of the structure sheaf respectively, has the Euler characteristic χ not exceeding 4. We will also give a complete description for the surfaces of the case $\chi = 4$. Note that if X is a minimal surface with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$, then X has vanishing irregularity, hence geometric genus $p_g = \chi - 1$.

In classification of regular surfaces of general type, the torsion parts of the Picard groups (the torsion groups for short) sometimes play an important role. One of the reasons for this lies in variety of topological types under single values of numerical invariants, which is common especially in cases of small geometric genus; the torsion group of a regular surface, isomorphic to the first homology group with integral coefficients, carries information which the numerical invariants c_1^2 and χ do not.

Studies on surfaces of general type from the view point of the torsion groups are well-known for cases of vanishing geometric genus (see, e.g., [3, p. 237]). In those studies, they tried to determine the structures of surfaces with given isomorphism classes of the torsion groups. There are, however, some other cases of numerical invariants for which similar stories have been successfully developed. Consider the case $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 2$. In this case, by [5], the orders of the torsion groups do not exceed 2, and the Euler characteristics χ's

for the cases of non-trivial torsion do not exceed 5. Complete descriptions for the surfaces with non-trivial torsion with $\chi = 2$, 3, 4, and 5 are given in respectively [4], [5], [2], and [5]. We remark that, even in cases of vanishing geometric genus, complete descriptions are known only for a small number of classes.

In the present paper, we study minimal surfaces with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ having non-trivial 2-torsion divisors: we will give a bound for the Euler characteristics χ's, and a complete description for the surfaces of the case of maximal χ (Theorem 1, Remark 2). By the main theorem of [14], the order of the torsion group of a minimal surface with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ is at most 3 if $\chi = 2$, and at most 2 if $\chi \geq 3$. Thus for our surfaces with $\chi \geq 2$, two conditions $\mathbb{Z}/2 \subset \mathrm{Tors}$ and $\mathrm{Tors} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$, where Tors denotes the torsion group, are equivalent. The case $\chi = 1$ on this line is that of the numerical Godeaux surfaces (i.e., minimal surfaces with $c_1^2 = 1$ and $p_g = 0$).

Our complete description for the surfaces with $\chi = 4$ asserts that any such surface X is obtained roughly as a free quotient by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ of a double cover of the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_d = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))$ ($d = 0$ or 2). We will describe the branch divisor of the double cover, and determine the free action by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (Theorem 1, Remark 1). The branch divisor of the double cover turns out to be a member of the quadruple anticanonical system having exactly two $[3,3]$-points. The action by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ turns out to be a lifting of that on the Hirzebruch surface Σ_d. For the definition of $[3,3]$-points, see "Notation and Terminology" bellow.

The present paper is organized as follows. In order to show our main theorem, we follow Miyaoka [12] and Reid [16], and take the unramified double cover $Y \to X$ corresponding to a torsion divisor. We study its canonical map Φ_{K_Y} using the action by the Galois group of Y over X. In Section 2, we state our main results and show, on the assumption $\chi \geq 4$, that $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$ or 2, and that $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$ implies $\chi = 4$. Note here that, to obtain our main theorem, we only need to study the case $\chi \geq 4$. In Section 3 we study the case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 2$. We divide this case into three according to the degree of the canonical image $Z = \Phi_{K_Y}(Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$: the case $\deg Z = n + 1$, the case $\deg Z = n$, and the case $\deg Z = n-1$. We will partly classify non-degenerate surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n+1$, and use this partial classification to study the case $\deg Z = n + 1$. We employ Horikawa's method to study the canonical map Φ_{K_Y}. Meanwhile in Section 4, we study the case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$ and $\chi = 4$. In this case, the surface Y has the first Chern number 14, geometric genus 7, and irregularity 0. Hence the surface Y is a canonical surface whose invariant lies on the Castelnuovo line. We use results given in [1], a paper by Ashikaga and Konno, to exclude this case.

The author of the present paper noticed that, according to Theorem 1, our

surfaces of the case $\chi = 4$ coincide, though not completely apparent, with those appearing in the classification, given in [6], of regular surfaces with $p_g = 3$, $c_1^2 = 7$, and non-birational bicanonical maps and without pencils of curves of genus 2. This implies possibility of another proof of our complete description, i.e., of a proof, like ones for the case $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 2$ in [5], by showing that our surfaces with $\chi = 4$ are of non-standard case in the sense of [6].

Acknowledgment

The author performed most of the computations included in this article during his stay at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, from October 2004 until March 2005, and improved them while he was at a post-doctoral position at Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute from June 2005 until March 2006. He expresses his deepest gratitude to these two institutions for comfortable environment and financial support which he received during his stay.

Notation and Terminology

Let S be a compact complex manifold of dimension 2. We denote by $c_1(S)$, $p_g(S)$, and $q(S)$, the first Chern class, the geometric genus, and the irregularity of S respectively. The torsion group of S, denoted by $\mathrm{Tors}(S)$, is the torsion part of the Picard group of S. If V is a complex manifold, K_V is a canonical divisor of V. For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on V, we denote by $H^i(\mathcal{F})$, $h^i(\mathcal{F})$, and $\chi(\mathcal{F})$, respectively the i-th cohomology group, its dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(\mathcal{F})$, and the Euler characteristic $\sum(-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{F})$. Let $f : V \to W$ be a morphism to a complex manifold W, and D, a divisor on W. Then $f^*(D)$ and $f_*^{-1}(D)$ denote the total transform and the strict transform of D respectively. The symbol $\sim$ means linear equivalence of divisors. We denote by $\Sigma_d \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the Hirzebruch surface of degree d. The divisors Δ_0 and Γ are its minimal section and its fiber respectively. Let C be a curve on S. We denote by $\mathrm{mult}_x C$ the multiplicity of C at a point $x \in S$. Let x be a triple point of a reduced curve C on S, and $S' \to S$, the blowing up at x. Assume that the strict transform C' of C has an infinitely near triple point x'. Then the point x is called a $[3,3]$-point of C, if the strict transform C'' to S'', where $S'' \to S'$ is the blowing up at x', has has at most negligible singularities on the exceptional locus of $S'' \to S$.

2 Statement of the main theorem

In [14], we obtained a bound for the orders of the torsion groups of minimal surfaces with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ and $\chi \geq 2$. In the present paper, we study the case

of 2-torsion divisors and sharpen the bound ([14]). Our goals are a bound for the Euler characteristic χ, and a complete description for the surfaces of the case of maximal χ. The following is the main theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a minimal surface of general type with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$ and torsion group $\mathrm{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then the Euler characteristic χ of the structure sheaf does not exceed 4. Moreover if $\chi = 4$, then the unramified double cover Y of X admits a generically two-to-one mapping f onto the Hirzebruch surface Σ_d of degree $d = 0$ or 2 satisfying the following conditions*:

i) *the action by the Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ of Y over X induces one on Σ_d, of which fixed locus is a set of four points on Σ_d*;

ii) *the branch divisor B of f is a member of the linear system $|-4K_{\Sigma_d}|$ passing no fixed points of the action by G*;

iii) *the branch divisor $B \in |-4K_{\Sigma_d}|$ has exactly two $[3,3]$-points, and all other singularities, if any, are negligible ones.*

This theorem sharpness the bound given in [14] into the following:

Theorem 2. *Let X be a minimal algebraic surface with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$. Then the following hold*:

i) *if $\chi = 2$, then $\sharp\mathrm{Tors}(X) \leq 3$*;

ii) *if $\chi \geq 3$, then $\sharp\mathrm{Tors}(X) \leq 2$*;

iii) *if $\chi \geq 5$, then $\sharp\mathrm{Tors}(X) = 1$.*

Remark 1. In Theorem 1, we can describe the action by G on Σ_d more concretely: if an involution of the Hirzebruch surface Σ_d has exactly four fixed points (d: even), then there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=0,1}$ of Σ_d satisfying $U_i = \{(u_i, (t_i : 1))\} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $u_0 = 1/u_1$, and $t_0 = u_1^d t_1$, such that this involution is given by

$$(u_0, t_0) \mapsto (-u_0, -t_0). \tag{1}$$

Remark 2. Our main theorem asserts that any minimal surface X with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$, $\chi = 4$, and $\mathrm{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ is obtained in the following way: 1) set $d = 0$ or 2; the involution (1) defines an action by $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ on the Hirzebruch surface Σ_d; 2) take a reduced member $B \in |-4K_{\Sigma_d}|$ stable under this action which satisfies conditions ii) and iii) in Theorem 1; 3) take the double cover of Σ_d branched along B, and denote by Y its minimal desingulraization; there exists a unique free lifting to Y of the action by G on Σ_d; 4) take the quotient of Y by this free action.

It is not difficult to check that this procedure in fact gives surfaces of the case $\chi = 4$ for sufficiently general B.

In what follows, X is a minimal surface with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$, $\chi = \lambda \geq 4$ and $\mathrm{Tors}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$. We denote by $\pi : Y \to X$ the unramimfied double cover corresponding to the torsion group $\mathrm{Tors}(X)$. Note that we have assumed $\lambda \geq 4$. The following lemma follows from the unbranched covering trick.

Lemma 2.1. *$K_Y^2 = 2(2\lambda - 1)$, $p_g(Y) = 2\lambda - 1$, and $q(Y) = 0$.*

In order to show Theorem 1, we study the canonical map $\Phi_{K_Y} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^n$ of Y, where $n = 2\lambda - 2$. We denote by $Z = \Phi_{K_Y}(Y)$ the canonical image of the surface Y.

Proposition 1. *$\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$ or 2. If $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$, then $\lambda = 4$.*

Proof. Since we have assumed $\lambda \geq 4$, we have

$$K_Y^2 - 3p_g(Y) = -(2\lambda - 1) \leq -7.$$

By this together with $q(Y) = 0$ and [9, Theorem 1.1], we see that $|K_Y|$ is not composite with a pencil. Thus we have

$$\deg \Phi_{K_Y} \leq \frac{K_Y^2}{\deg Z} \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} = 2 + \frac{4}{n-1} \leq 2 + \frac{4}{5},$$

hence $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} \leq 2$. The second assertion follows from Castelnuovo's inequality. □

If $\lambda = 4$, then the Chern invariant of Y is on the Castelnuovo line. Thus we can use results given in [1] to study the case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$.

3 The case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 2$

In this section, we study the case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 2$. We begin with the study of the base locus of the canonical system $|K_Y|$. Let $|M|$ and F be the variable part and the fixed part of the linear system $|K_Y|$. We take the shortest composite $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ of quadric transformations such that the variable part $|L|$ of $p^*|M|$ is free from base points, and denote by E the fixed part of $p^*|M|$. Then we have $p^*|K_Y| = |L| + E + p^*F$ and

$$K_Y^2 = L^2 + LE + MF + K_Y F, \tag{2}$$

where each term of the right hand is a non-negative integer. Note that the eigen vectors of the natural action by $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ span the the space of global section $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y))$. This implies that the linear systems $|K_Y|$, $|M|$, and F are spanned by the pull-backs of divisors on X. Hence, for example,

we have $MF \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, since $\pi : Y \to X$ is of mapping degree 2. In the same way, we obtain

$$L^2 \equiv LE \equiv= -E^2 \equiv MF \equiv K_Y F \equiv 0 \mod 2 \tag{3}$$

(for the detail, see [13, Section 3]).

Proposition 2. *Let M, F, L, and E be divisors as above. Then one of the following holds*:

1) $|K_Y| = |L|$: *the canonical system $|K_Y|$ is free from base points*;
2) $L^2 = K_Y^2 - 2$, $F = 0$, *and* $LE = 2$;
3-1) $L^2 = K_Y^2 - 4$, $F = 0$, *and* $LE = 4$;
3-2) $L^2 = K_Y^2 - 4$, $|L| = |M|$, $K_Y F = 0$, *and* $F^2 = -4$.

Proof. First, note that we have $L^2 = K_Y^2$, $K_Y^2 - 2$, or $K_Y^2 - 4$. This follows from (3) and [7, Lemma 2]. Second, note that

$$MF \equiv 0 \mod 4. \tag{4}$$

This follows from Riemann–Roch theorem, since we have $MF = M(M + K_Y) - 2M^2 = M(M + \pi^* K_X) - 2M^2$, $\deg \pi = 2$, and $M \sim \pi^* M'$ for a certain divisor M' on X. Then the assertion follows from (2), (4), (3), and Hodge's index theorem. □

In case 3-1), the number of the base points of $|M|$ cannot be 1, since the action by G on Y has no fixed points. Thus in this case, the the morphism $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is a composite of four quadric transformations. In the same way, we see that, in case 2), the morphism $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is a blowing-up of Y at two distinct points. In case 3-2), the divisor F is a sum of two fundamental cycles of rational double points.

We denote by $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ the morphism associated with the linear system $|L|$. The action by G on Y induces one on $\tilde{Y}$. We study the morphism Φ_L using this action.

3.1 The case $|K_Y| = |L|$

Let us first exclude case 1) in Proposition 2. In what follows, we assume $|K_Y| = |L|$. Thus we have $\deg Z = n + 1$. We will prove the following proposition in Appendix.

Proposition 3. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer, Z, a non-degenerate surface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n+1$, and $Z' \to Z$, its minimal desingularization. Assume that the morphism $Z' \to Z$ is given by a complete linear system, say $|D'|$, and that $q(Z') = 0$ holds. Then n does not exceed 11. Further, there exist an integer*

$0 \leq d \leq 3$ *and a blowing up* $r : Z' \to \Sigma_d$ *at* (*possibly infinitely near*) $11 - n$ *points such that the equivalence* $D' \sim -K_{Z'} + r^*\Gamma$ *holds. Here, the divisor* Γ *is a fiber of the Hirzebruch surface* $\Sigma_d \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

In our case, we have $n = 2\lambda - 2$, $\lambda \geq 4$, and $q(Y) = 0$. Moreover Z is the canonical image of Y. Thus our surface $Z = \Phi_{K_Y}(Y)$ satisfies all the conditions in the proposition above. It follows that there exist an integer $0 \leq d \leq 3$ and a blowing up $r : Z' \to \Sigma_d$ at $11 - n$ points such that the morphism $\Phi_{D'} : Z' \to Z$, where $\Phi_{D'}$ is a morphism corresponding to the complete linear system $|D'| = | - K_{Z'} + r^*\Gamma|$, gives the minimal desingularization of Z.

Proposition 4. *The canonical map* $\Phi_{K_Y} : Y \to Z$ *lifts to a morphism* $f' : Y \to Z'$. *The branch divisor* B' *of* f' *is a member of the linear system* $|2(2D' - r^*\Gamma)|$ *having at most negligible singularities.*

Proof. Let us first show the liftability of the canonical map Φ_{K_Y}. Let $p' : Y' \to Y$ be the shortest composite of quadric transformations such that the morphism $\Phi_{K_Y} \circ p'$ factors through $\Phi_{D'} : Z' \to Z$. We denote by $f' : Y' \to Z'$ the unique morphism satisfying $\Phi_{K_Y} \circ p' = \Phi_{D'} \circ f'$. Then we have $K_{Y'} \sim p'^*K_Y + \eta$ for a certain effective divisor η on Y'. If $f'_*\eta = 0$, then $p' : Y' \to Y$ is an isomorphism . Thus we only need to show $f'_*\eta = 0$.

So we prove the equality above. Let R' be the ramification divisor of f', and $B' = f'_*R'$, its direct image. Then from $R' \sim K_{Y'} - f'^*K_{Z'} \sim f'^*(2D' - r^*\Gamma) + \eta$, we infer

$$B' \sim 2(2D' - r^*\Gamma + \alpha), \tag{5}$$

where α is a divisor satisfying $2\alpha \sim f'_*\eta$. We denote by $Y'' \to Z'$ the double cover branched along B', and by $Y^\sharp \to Y$ its canonical resolution. To show the equality $f'_*\eta = 0$, we compute the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\sharp})$ in two ways and compare them. Note that $\dim(\Phi_{K_Y} \circ p')(\eta) = 0$, and that any general member of $|r^*\Gamma|$ is a 0-curve. It follows $D'\alpha = D'f'_*\eta/2 = 0$ and $D'(r^*\Gamma) = -K_{Z'}(r^*\Gamma) = 2$. Thus by (5) and [7, Lemma 6], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathcal{O}_{Y_\sharp}) &= 2 + \frac{1}{2}(2D' - r^*\Gamma + \alpha)((2D' - r^*\Gamma + \alpha) + K_{Z'}) - \beta \\
&= 2 + \frac{1}{2}(2D' - r^*\Gamma + \alpha)(D' + \alpha) - \beta \\
&= D'^2 + 1 - \frac{1}{4}(r^*\Gamma)(f'_*\eta) + \frac{1}{8}(f'_*\eta)^2 - \beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where β is a term coming from essential singularities of the branch divisor B'. Here, we have three inequalities

$$-\frac{1}{4}(r^*\Gamma)(f'_*\eta) \leq 0, \quad \frac{1}{8}(f'_*\eta)^2 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad -\beta \leq 0. \tag{7}$$

The first one follows from the absence of base points of $|r^*\Gamma|$, the second one from ${D'}^2 > 0$ and $D' f'_* \eta = 0$, and the last one from the definition of β. Meanwhile we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\sharp}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = n+2 = {D'}^2 + 1$. Thus by (6) and (7), we obtain $(f'_*\eta)^2 = 0$, from which together with Hodge's index theorem, we infer $f'_*\eta = 0$. Hence the canonical map Φ_{K_Y} lifts.

The remaining assertion easily follows from the proof above. □

Note that the action by $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ on Y induces one on Z'. We can verify it as follows: Since Z is the canonical image of our surface Y, the action on Y induces one on Z. Meanwhile the surface Z' is the minimal desingularization of our surface Z. Thus this action on Z induces one on Z'.

Lemma 3.1. *The induced action by G on Z' is non-trivial. The fixed locus of this action has a one-dimensional irreducible component C'_0 satisfying ${C'_0}^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.*

Proof. The first assertion is trivial, since the action on Y has no fixed points. Let us show the second assertion. Let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_b\}$ be the set of isolated fixed points of the action on Z', and $r'' : Z'' \to Z'$ the blowing up at these b points. We denote by C''_i the (-1)-curve lying over z_i. Let $\{C'_1, \ldots, C'_a\}$ be the set of 1-dimensional irreducible components of the fixed locus of the action on Z'. We use the same symbol C'_i for the total transform to Z'' of the divisor C'_i. Note that the divisor $\sum_{i=1}^a C'_i + \sum_{i=1}^b C''_i$ has no singularities, since we have $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$. It follows that the quotient Z''/G, where the action by G is the lifting of that on Z', is smooth. We denote by $\bar{C}'_i$ and $\bar{C}''_i$ the image to Z''/G of the divisor C'_i and that of the divisor C''_i, respectively. Then since the branch divisor $\sum_{i=1}^a \bar{C}'_i + \sum_{i=1}^b \bar{C}''_i$ is linearly equivalent to twice a divisor on Z''/G, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^a {C'_i}^2 - b = (\sum_{i=1}^a C'_i + \sum_{i=1}^b C''_i)^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^a \bar{C}'_i + \sum_{i=1}^b \bar{C}''_i)^2/2 \equiv 0 \mod 2.$$

Meanwhile, since $K_{Z''}$ is linearly equivalent to a pull-back of a divisor on Z''/G, we have $K^2_{Z''} = K^2_{Z'} - b = n - 3 - b \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, hence $b \equiv 1 \bmod 2$. Thus we infer $\sum_{i=1}^a {C'_i}^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, which implies the second assertion. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let C'_0 be an irreducible curve as in Lemma 3.1. Then $B'C'_0 \neq 0$ holds.*

Proof. We derive a contradiction by assuming $B'C'_0 = 0$. Assume that $B'C'_0 = 0$ holds. Then by Proposition 4, we have

$$(2D' - r^*\Gamma)C'_0 = (-2K_{Z'} + r^*\Gamma)C'_0 = 0.$$

If $(r^*\Gamma)C_0' = 0$, then by the equality above, we obtain $K_{Z'}C_0' = 0$, which contradicts ${C_0'}^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$. Thus we have $(r^*\Gamma)C_0' > 0$, hence $-2K_{Z'}C_0' = -(r^*\Gamma)C_0' < 0$. It follows C_0' is a fixed component of the anti-canonical system $|-K_{Z'}|$. Then since $-K_{\Sigma_d} \sim 2\Delta_0 + (2+d)\Gamma$, we obtain $(r^*\Gamma)C_0' \leq 2$, hence $(r^*\Gamma)C_0' = 2K_{Z'}C_0' = 2$. Thus $r_*C_0' \sim 2\Delta_0 + c\Gamma$ holds for a certain integer $c \geq 1$. Meanwhile since $0 \leq d \leq 3$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-K_{Z'})) \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_d}(-K_{\Sigma_d})) - (11-n) = n-2$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n-2 \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-K_{Z'})) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-K_{Z'} - C_0')) \\ \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_d}(-K_{\Sigma_d} - r_*C_0')) = 3 + d - c, \end{aligned}$$

hence $c - d \leq 5 - n < 0$. It follows $(r_*C_0')\Delta_0 = (c-d) - d < 0$, which contradicts the irreducibility of C_0'. Hence $B'C_0' \neq 0$ holds. □

Now let us exclude case 1) in Proposition 2.

Proposition 5. *Case* 1) *in Proposition 2 does not occur.*

Take an irreducible curve C_0' as in Lemma 3.1. Then by Lemma 3.2, we have $B' \cap C_0' \neq \emptyset$. So let us take a point $x \in B' \cap C_0'$. Then the preimage ${f'}^{-1}(x) \subset Y$ is stable under the action by G on Y. By Proposition 4, however, the set ${f'}^{-1}(x)$ is either a point or a base space of the fundamental cycle of a rational double point. This implies that the action by G on ${f'}^{-1}(x)$ has a fixed point, which contradicts the definition of $\pi : Y \to X$. Thus we have the assertion. □

3.2 The case $L^2 = K_Y^2 - 4$

Next we exclude cases 3-1) and 3-2) in Proposition 2. In these two cases, we have $L^2 = 2(n-1)$; hence the canonical image Z is a non-degenerate surface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of minimal degree $n-1$. Thus from the well-known classification, it follows our Z is a image of the Hirzebruch surface $Z' = \Sigma_d$ by the morphism associated with the complete linear system $|D'| = |\Delta_0 + \frac{n-1+d}{2}\Gamma|$, where $0 \leq d \leq n-1$ and $d \equiv n-1 \bmod 2$ (see [15]or [8, Lemma 1.2]). Let us denote this morphism by $\Phi_{D'} : Z' \to Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\Phi_{D'}$ is an embedding if $d < n-1$, and is the contraction of Δ_0 if $d = n-1$. Note, in the later case, our Z is a cone over a rational curve embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n-1)$.

For the case $d < n-1$, the lemma bellow is trivial. For the case $d = n-1$, we can give a proof by the same method as in [8, Lemma 1.5].

Lemma 3.3. *The morphism $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to a morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$.*

By the same argument as in the exclusion of case 1), we see that the action by G on Y induces one on Z'.

Let us recall the morphism $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ and the base locus of $|K_Y|$. In case 3-1) in Proposition 2, the morphism p is the blowing-up at (possibly infinitely near) four points, say, $y_1, \ldots, y_4$. Let E_i denote the total transform to $\tilde{Y}$ of the (-1)-curve corresponding to y_i. Then we have $E = \sum_{i=1}^{4} E_i$ and $LE_i = 1$ $(1 \leq i \leq 4)$. Since the action by G on the set of base points of $|M|$ has no fixed points, we have only two cases: i) the case where $y_1, \ldots, y_4$ are four distinct points on $\tilde{Y}$, and ii) the case where y_1 and y_2 are distinct points on $\tilde{Y}$, and y_{i+1} is infinitely near to y_i for $i = 1, 2$. In the later case, the divisor $E_i' = E_i - E_{i+1}$ is a (-2)-curve satisfying $LE_i' = 0$.

Meanwhile in case 3-2), the morphism $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is an isomorphism. Hence we may assume $\tilde{Y} = Y$. We have $|M| = |L|$ and $F = \sum_{i=1,2} F_i$, where F_i is a fundamental cycle of a rational double point. Since the action on Y has no fixed points, we have $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$; hence the generator of G maps F_1 onto F_2. It follows $LF_1 = LF_2 = 2$.

In what follows, we put $T = 2E$ for case 3-1), and $T = F$ for case 3-2). Then we have

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} \sim L + T.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let T be the divisor above. Then $\Gamma(f_*'T) \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ holds.*

Proof. Since $d \equiv n - 1 \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, we have $d \neq 0$. Thus the action by G on $Z' = \Sigma_d$ induces one on $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the natural fibration $\Sigma_d \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of the Hirzebruch surface. It follows there exists a member $\Gamma_0 \in |\Gamma|$ stable under the action by G. Let us take a blowing-up $\tilde{X} \to X$ such that $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X} \times_X Y$ holds. The base change $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X}$ is an unramified double cover satisfying $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{Y}/\tilde{X}) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$. Then since $f'^*\Gamma_0$ is stable under the action by G on $\tilde{Y}$, the divisor $f'^*\Gamma_0$ is a pull-back by $\tilde{\pi}$ of a certain divisor on $\tilde{X}$. Thus from $\tilde{\pi}^* K_{\tilde{X}} \sim K_{\tilde{Y}}$ and Riemann–Roch theorem, we infer

$$(f'^*\Gamma_0)^2 + (f'^*\Gamma_0)K_{\tilde{Y}} = (f'^*\Gamma_0)(L + T) = 2 + (f'^*\Gamma_0)T \equiv 0 \mod 4.$$

Hence we have the assertion. □

Lemma 3.5. *The morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$ contracts no (-1)-curves on $\tilde{Y}$. Further, the following hold:*

i) *if C is a (-1)-curve on $\tilde{Y}$ satisfying $LC = 1$, then $f_*'C \sim \Gamma$;*

ii) *if C is a (-2)-curve on $\tilde{Y}$ satisfying $LC = 0$, then f' contracts C.*

Proof. The first assertion trivially follows from the definition of $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$. In order to prove i) and ii), we put $f_*'C \sim a\Delta_0 + b\Gamma$. We denote by θ the involution of $\tilde{Y}$ over Z'. This involution exists, since f' contracts no (-1)-curves.

First, let us prove assertion i). Assume that C is a (-1)-curve on $\tilde{Y}$ satisfying $LC = 1$. Then since $L \sim f'^{*}D'$, we have

$$(\Delta_0 + d\Gamma)f'_*C + \frac{n-1-d}{2}\Gamma f'_*C = 1, \tag{8}$$

where each term of the left hand is a non-negative integer. Thus we obtain $(\Delta_0 + d\Gamma)f'_*C = 0$ or 1. Assume that $(\Delta_0 + d\Gamma)f'_*C = 1$. Then we have $f'_*C \sim a\Delta_0 + \Gamma$ and $(\frac{n-1-d}{2})a = 0$. Thus, in this case, we only have to show $a = 0$, which is trivial if $n - 1 - d \neq 0$. If $n - 1 - d = 0$, then by the irreducibility of C, we have $\Delta_0 f'_*C = 1 - a(n-1) \geq 0$, hence $a = 0$. Assume next that $(\Delta_0 + d\Gamma)f'_*C = 0$. Then by (8), we obtain $f'_*C = \Delta_0$ and $d = n - 1$. We exclude this case as follows. We have $f'^{*}C = C + \theta(C) + \xi$ for a certain effective divisor ξ exceptional with respect to f'. It follows

$$(f'^{*}C)^2 = (C + \theta(C) + \xi)(C + \theta(C)) \geq C^2 + \theta(C)^2 + 2C\theta(C) \geq -4,$$

hence $-2(n-1) \geq -4$. This contradicts $\lambda \geq 4$. Thus we have $(\Delta_0 + d\Gamma)f'_*C \neq 0$, which completes the proof of assertion i).

Next, let us prove assertion ii). Assume that $f'(C)$ is a curve. Then since $\Phi_{D'}$ contracts $f'(C)$, we have $d = n - 1$ and $f'(C) = \Delta_0$. Note that we have $f'_*C = \Delta_0$ or $2\Delta_0$, since $\deg f' = 2$. Assume that $f'_*C = \Delta_0$. Then we have $f'^{*}C = C + \theta(C) + \xi$ for a certain effective divisor ξ exceptional with respect to f'. Then by the same method as in the proof of i), we obtain $-2(n-1) = (f'^{*}C)^2 \geq -8$, which contradicts $\lambda \geq 4$. Assume next that $f'_*C = 2\Delta_0$. Then we have $f'^{*}C = C + \xi$ for a certain effective divisor ξ exceptional with respect to f'. Then again by the same method, we obtain $-2(n-1) \geq -2$, which contradicts $\lambda \geq 4$. Thus we have assertion ii). □

If our Y is of case 3-1) in Proposition 2, then by the lemma above we have $f'_*T = 2f'_*E \sim 8\Gamma$, which contradicts Lemma 3.4. Thus we have the following:

Proposition 6. *Case* 3-1) *in Proposition 2 does not occur.*

So in what follows, we assume our Y is of case 3-2) in Proposition 2.

Lemma 3.6. *Let C be an irreducible component of F_1 satisfying $D'f'_*C > 0$. Then one of the following holds*:

i) *$D'f'_*C = 1$ and $f'_*C \sim \Gamma$;*

ii) *$D'f'_*C = 2$ and $f'_*C \sim 2\Gamma$;*

iii) *$D'f'_*C = 2$, $f'_*C = \Delta_0$, and $d = n - 5 = 1$.*

Proof. First, note that if $f'(C) = \Delta_0$, then we have $C \neq \theta(C)$, where θ is the involution of $\tilde{Y} = Y$ over Z'. We can verify this as follows. Let ι be the

generator of the Galois group G, and $\iota|_{Z'}$, the corresponding automorphism of Z'. Then since $d \neq 0$, we have $f'(\iota(C)) = \iota|_{Z'}(f'(C)) = \Delta_0 = f'(C)$. This means $C \neq \theta(C) = \iota(C)$, since we have $\iota(C) \subset F_2$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$. Next, note that C is a (-2) curve satisfying $0 < D' f'_* C \leq D' f'_* F_1 = 2$. Then we can prove the assertion by the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

By $D' f'_* F_1 = 2$ together with Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we see that either of the following holds:

a) $f'_* F_1 = f'_*(\iota(F_2)) \sim 2\Gamma$;

b) $f'_* F_1 = f'_*(\iota(F_2)) = \Delta_0$, and $d = n - 5 = 1$,

where ι is the generator of the Galois group of G. Case a) above, however, contradicts the assertion in Lemma 3.4. Thus we have the following:

Lemma 3.7. *$f'_* F_1 = f'_* F_2 = \Delta_0$ and $d = n - 5 = 1$.*

Now let us study the morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} = Y \to Z' = \Sigma_1$. Let R' be the ramification divisor of f', and $B' = f'_* R'$, the branch divisor. Then by the lemma above we obtain

$$R' \sim f'^*(3\Delta_0 + 6\Gamma) + \sum_{i=1,2} F_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad B' \sim 2(4\Delta_0 + 6\Gamma). \tag{9}$$

We take the double cover of Z' with branch divisor B', and denote by $Y^\sharp$ its canonical resolution. Let us recall how to obtain the canonical resolution. Set $Z'_0 = Z'$ and $B'_0 = B'$. We define Z'_i and B'_i, inductively, as follows. Choose a singularity z_i, if any, of B'_{i-1}, and take the blowing up $q'_i : Z'_i \to Z'_{i-1}$ at this point. We denote by ε_i the (-1)-curve corresponding to z_i. Let m_i be the multiplicity of B'_{i-1} at z_i, and $[\frac{m_i}{2}]$, the largest integer not exceeding $\frac{m_i}{2}$. Then we define B'_i by $B'_i = q'^*_i B'_{i-1} - 2[\frac{m_i}{2}]\varepsilon_i$. For a certain $s \geq 0$, the divisor B'_s is non-singular. So take the double cover $f^\sharp : \tilde{Y}_s \to Z^\sharp = Z'_s$ with the branch divisor $B^\sharp = B'_s$. Then this $\tilde{Y}_s$ is our canonical resolution $Y^\sharp$. Put $q' = (q'_1 \circ q'_2 \circ \cdots \circ q'_s) : Z^\sharp \to Z'$. There exists a unique morphism $p^\sharp : Y^\sharp \to \tilde{Y}$ satisfying $q' \circ f^\sharp = f' \circ p^\sharp$. We use the same symbol ε_i for the total transform to $Z^\sharp$ of the (-1)-curve $\varepsilon_i \subset Z'_i$. Note, for our case, the action by the Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ on $\tilde{Y}$ induces one on $Z^\sharp$ and one on $Y^\sharp$. This action on $Y^\sharp$ is free.

By the same method as in [7, Section 2], we obtain the following:

Proposition 7. *There exist exactly two i's, say i_1 and i_2 $(i_1 < i_2)$, satisfying $[\frac{m_i}{2}] = 2$. For any $i \neq i_1, i_2$, the equality $[\frac{m_i}{2}] = 1$ holds. The morphism $p^\sharp : Y^\sharp \to \tilde{Y} = Y$ is a composite of two quadric transformations.*

Thus the branch divisor B' has an essential singularity. By the proposition above, we obtain

$$K_{Y^\sharp} \sim f^{\sharp *}(q'^*(2\Delta_0 + 3\Gamma) - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \varepsilon_{i_2}). \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3.8. *Every essential singularity of B' lies on Δ_0.*

Proof. Since f' contracts no (-1)-curves, $f'^*B' - 2R' = 2\zeta'$ holds for a certain effective divisor ζ' on $\tilde{Y}$. This ζ'_i satisfies

$$2\zeta' \sim 2(f'^*(\Delta_0) - \sum_{i=1,2} F_i), \tag{11}$$

since we have (9). Let $\zeta' = \sum \zeta'_i$ be the decomposition into connected components. Note that f' maps each ζ'_i to a point on Z'. Then, for any i satisfying $f'(\zeta'_i) \notin \Delta_0$, we infer from (11) that $\zeta_i'^2 = \zeta'_i\zeta' = 0$, hence $\zeta'_i = 0$, which implies the assertion. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\eta^\sharp \sim K_{Y^\sharp} - p^{\sharp *}K_{\tilde{Y}}$ be the exceptional divisor corresponding to $p^\sharp : Y^\sharp \to \tilde{Y}$. Then the fixed part of $|K_{Y^\sharp}|$ is given by $\sum_{i=1,2} p^{\sharp *}F_i + \eta^\sharp$. Further, the linear equivalence $\sum_{i=1,2} p^{\sharp *}F_i + \eta^\sharp \sim f^{\sharp *}(q'^*\Delta_0 - \varepsilon_{i_1} - \varepsilon_{i_2})$ holds, where i_1 and i_2 are integers given in Proposition 7.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from $|K_{Y^\sharp}| = |K_{\tilde{Y}}| + \eta^\sharp$, since $|L|$ has no base points. The second assertion follows from (10) and $\sum p^{\sharp *}F_i + \eta^\sharp \sim K_{Y^\sharp} - p^{\sharp *}L \sim K_{Y^\sharp} - p^{\sharp *}f'^*D'$. □

Lemma 3.10. *There exists a member $\Gamma_1 \in |\Gamma|$ contained in the fixed locus of the action by G on $Z' = \Sigma_1$.*

Proof. The action by G on $Z' = \Sigma_1$ induces one on $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the natural fibration $Z' = \Sigma_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of the Hirzebruch surface. Let us show that this induced action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is non-trivial. There exists a member $\Delta_1 \in |\Delta_0 + \Gamma|$ stable under the action by G satisfying $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_0 = \emptyset$. Assume that the induced action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is trivial. Then this Δ_1 is contained in the fixed locus of the action by G on Z'. From this together with $B'\Delta_1 = 12$ and Lemma 3.8, it follows that B' has a smooth point or a negligible singularity which is stable under the action by G. This, however, leads us to a contradiction by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5. Thus the induced action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is non-trivial. Now take two fibers of $Z' \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which lie over the fixed points of the action on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since $Z' = \Sigma_1$, one of these two fibers are contained in the fixed locus of the action by G. □

Let us exclude case 3-2) in Proposition 2.

Proposition 8. *Case* 3-2) *in Proposition 2 does not occur.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1 \in |\Gamma|$ be the member as in Lemma 3.10. By (9), we have $B'\Gamma_1 = 8$, hence $B' \cap \Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$. If a smooth point or a negligible singularity of B' lies on $B' \cap \Gamma_1$, we can derive a contradiction by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5. Thus by Lemma 3.8, we see that $B' \cap \Gamma_1 = \Delta_0 \cap \Gamma_1$ and that this point is an essential singularity of B'. So we put $\Delta_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \{z_1\}$, where the point z_1 is the center of the first blowing up $q'_1 : Z'_1 \to Z'_0 = Z'$ in the procedure to obtain the canonical resolution $Y^\sharp$. Then, by Proposition 7, we have $3 \leq m_1 \leq 5$. If m_1 is odd, then the strict transform $\varepsilon_1^\sharp \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset Z^\sharp$ of the exceptional curve $\varepsilon_1 \subset Z'_1$ is a component of $B^\sharp$ stable under the action by G. This, however, leads us to a contradiction, since the action by G on $Y^\sharp$ is free. It follows $m_1 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, hence $m_1 = 4$. Thus we have $B'_1 = {q'_1}^* B' - 4\varepsilon_1$ and $B'_1 {q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1) = 4$, where the divisor ${q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1)$ is the strict transform of Γ_1 by $q'_1 : Z'_1 \to Z'$. Note that the action by G on Z' induces one on Z'_1, and that the strict transform ${q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1)$ is contained in the fixed locus of this induced action. By the same argument as that on Γ_1 above, we see that the point $B'_1 \cap {q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1) = \varepsilon_1 \cap {q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1)$ is an essential singularity of B'_1, that we can set $\varepsilon_1 \cap {q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1) = \{z_2\}$, where the point z_2 is the center of the second blowing up $q'_2 : Z'_2 \to Z'_1$, and that $m_2 = 4$, where m_2 is the multiplicity of B'_1 at z_2. Thus we have $i_1 = 1$ and $i_2 = 2$, where i_1 and i_2 are the integers given in Proposition 7.

Now we derive a contradiction. Let $\Gamma_1^\sharp$ be the strict transform to $Z^\sharp$ of of the divisor Γ_1. Note that we have $z_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and $z_2 \in {q'_{1*}}^{-1}(\Gamma_1)$. Thus by Lemma 3.9, we obtain

$$f_*^\sharp(\sum {p^\sharp}^* F_i + \eta^\sharp)\Gamma_1^\sharp = \Delta_0\Gamma + {\varepsilon_1}^2 + {\varepsilon_2}^2 = -1 < 0.$$

From this together with Lemma 3.7, we infer that the divisor $\Gamma_1^\sharp$ is the image by $f^\sharp$ of an irreducible component of $\eta^\sharp$, which contradicts the equality $\dim(q' \circ f^\sharp)(\eta^\sharp) = \dim(f' \circ p^\sharp)(\eta^\sharp) = 0$. Hence we have the assertion. □

3.3 The case $K_Y^2 = L^2 - 2$

Finally, we study case 2) in Proposition 2. It will turn out that $\lambda = 4$ in this case, and that the surfaces of this case have the structure as in the statement of Theorem 1. In what follows, we assume that our Y is of case 2) in Proposition 2, hence $\deg Z = L^2/2 = n$. Note that, in this case, the morphism $p : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is a blowing up at two distinct points on Y. Let E_1 and E_2 denote the (-1)-curves corresponding to the centers of this blowing up. Then we have $p^*|K_Y| = |L| + \sum_{i=1,2} E_i$ and $LE_1 = LE_2 = 1$. The Galois

group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ acts transitively on the set $\{E_1, E_2\}$. We denote by Z' the minimal desingularization of Z.

Lemma 3.11. *There exists a blowing up $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ at (possibly infinitely near) $9-n$ points such that the anticanonical morphism $Z' \to Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of Z' gives the minimal desingularization of Z.*

Proof. Note that our $Z = \Phi_{K_Y}(Y)$ is a non-degenerate surface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree n. Hence our Z is one of the following (see [15] or [10, Section 3]):

i) a projection of a surface of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ from a point outside the surface;

ii) the Veronese embedding into $\mathbb{P}^8$ of a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^3$ ($n = 8$);

iii) the anticanonical image of $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up at $9-n$ points;

iv) a cone over an elliptic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of degree n.

Since $Z' \to Z$ is given by a complete linear system, case i) above is impossible for our case. Since $q(Y) = 0$, case iv) also is impossible. Thus it suffices to exclude case ii). In case ii), however, the divisor L is linearly equivalent to twice a divisor on $\tilde{Y}$, which contradicts the equality $LE_i = 1$. Hence we have the assertion. □

In what follows, we put $D' = -K_{Z'}$ and denote by $\Phi_{D'} : Z' \to Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ the anticanonical map of Z'. Note that the action by $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ on $\tilde{Y}$ induces one on Z'.

Lemma 3.12. *If the surface Z' has no (-2)-curves, or if every (-2)-curves on Z' is stable under the action by G on Z', then $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ lifts to a morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$.*

Proof. Take the shortest composite $p' : Y' \to \tilde{Y}$ of quadric transformations such that Y' admits a morphism $f' : Y' \to Z'$ satisfying $p' \circ \Phi_L = \Phi_{D'} \circ f'$. Then the action by G on $\tilde{Y}$ induces one on Y'. Note that f' contracts no (-1)-curves. This follows from $LE_i = 1$ and the definition of p', since the surface Y is of general type. To obtain the assertion, we only need to show that $p' : Y' \to \tilde{Y}$ is an isomorphism. Assume that $p' : Y' \to \tilde{Y}$ is not an isomorphism. Then there exists a (-1)-curve C on Y' exceptional with respect to p'. Since the anticanonical map $\Phi_{D'} : Z' \to Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ contracts $f'(C)$ to a point, the curve $f'(C)$ is a (-2)-curve on Z', hence, by the assumption in the statement, stable under the action by G on Z'. Meanwhile by the same method as in Lemma 3.5, we see that $f'_*C = f'(C)$ or $2f'(C)$, and that if $f'_*C = f'(C)$, then C is a component of the ramification divisor of f'. It follows that $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is stable under the action by G on Y', which implies the existence of fixed points of this action. This, however, contradicts the definition of $\pi : Y \to X$. Thus we have the assertion. □

Lemma 3.13. *Assume that $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to a morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. Then $f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$ are (-1)-curves on Z'. Further, the following hold:*

i) *$f'_* E_i = f'(E_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$;*

ii) *the ramification divisor R' of f' satisfies $R' \sim f'^*(-2K_{Z'}) + 2\sum_{i=1,2} E_i$;*

iii) *the branch divisor B' of f' satisfies $B' \sim -4K_{Z'} + 2\sum_{i=1,2} f'(E_i)$;*

iv) *$f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$ are distinct components of the branch divisor B'.*

Proof. The first assertion and assertion i) follow from $E_i L = E_i f'^* D' = 1$, which implies $\Phi_L(E_i)$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Assertions ii) and iii) follow from $D' \sim -K_{Z'}$ and assertion i). So it suffices to prove assertion iv). Let us prove assertion iv). Let θ be the involution of $\tilde{Y}$ over Z'. Since Y is of general type, the divisors E_1 and E_2 are the only (-1)-curves on $\tilde{Y}$. It follows that if $f'(E_1) \neq f'(E_2)$, then $\theta(E_i) = E_i$ holds for $i = 1, 2$. Thus we only need to show $f'(E_1) \neq f'(E_2)$. Assume that $f'(E_1) = f'(E_2)$. Then $f'^*(f'(E_1)) = f'^*(f'(E_2)) = E_1 + E_2 + \xi$ holds for a certain effective divisor ξ exceptional with respect to f'. Since we have $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$, we see, by the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, that $\xi^2 = -(E_1 + E_2)\xi = 0$, hence $\xi = 0$. It follows $f'^*(f'(E_1)) = f'^*(f'(E_2)) = E_1 + E_2$. From this together with assertions ii) and iii), we infer $f'^* B' - 2R' = 0$, which implies that the branch divisor B' has at most negligible singularities. Thus by [7, Lemma 6], we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}) = 2\chi(\mathcal{O}'_Z) + \frac{1}{2}(-2K_{Z'} + \sum f'(E_i))(-K_{Z'} + \sum f'(E_i)) = n + 3,$$

which contradicts $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = n + 2$. Thus we have $f'(E_1) \neq f'(E_2)$, which completes the proof of assertion iv). □

Lemma 3.14. *If the surface Y is of case 2) in Proposition 2, then $\lambda = 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.11, we have $n = 2\lambda - 2 \leq 9$, hence $\lambda \leq 5$. Thus we only need to exclude the case $\lambda = 5$. Assume $\lambda = 5$. Then $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a blowing up at one pont, hence $Z' = \Sigma_1$. Thus by Lemmas 3.12 and 3.13, we see that $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to a morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$, and that $f'(E_i)$'s are (-1)-curves. The minimal section Δ_0, however, is the unique (-1)-curve on the Hirzebruch surface Σ_1. Thus we have $f'(E_1) = f'(E_2) = \Delta_0$, which contradicts Lemma 3.13. Hence we have the assertion. □

Thus we only need to study the case $\lambda = 4$. In what follows we assume $\lambda = 4$, hence $n = 6$. In this case, the morphism $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is a blowing up at three points.

Lemma 3.15. *Assume that $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to a morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$, and that $f'(E_1) \cap f'(E_2) = \emptyset$ holds. Let $r' : Z' \to W$ denote the blowing down*

of the two (-1)*-curves* $f'(E_1)$ *and* $f'(E_2)$*. Then the branch divisor* B *of the morphism* $r' \circ f' : \tilde{Y} \to W$ *is a member of the linear system* $|-4K_W|$ *having* $[3,3]$*-points at* $r'(f'(E_1))$ *and* $r'(f'(E_2))$*. Except for these two* $[3,3]$*-points, the branch divisor* B *has at most negligible singularities. Further, the surface* Y *gives the minimal desingularization of the double cover (of the surface* W*) with branch divisor* B*.*

Proof. Note that f' contracts no (-1)-curves. Thus the divisor $f'^*B' - 2R'$, linearly equivalent to $2(\sum f'^*(f'(E_i)) - 2\sum E_i)$ by Lemma 3.13, is twice a certain effective divisor ζ on $\tilde{Y}$. We have $\zeta E_j = (\sum f'^*(f'(E_i)) - 2\sum E_i)E_j = 1$, hence $\sharp(\zeta \cap E_j) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. So we put $\{z_j\} = f'(\zeta \cap E_j)$. Then the point $z_j \in f'(E_j)$, where $1 \leq j \leq 2$, is an essential singularity of the branch divisor B'. Meanwhile, by Lemma 3.13, we see that the divisor $B' - \sum f'(E_i)$ is effective, and that $(B' - \sum f'(E_i))f'(E_j) = 3$ for each $j = 1, 2$, from which we infer $\mathrm{mult}_{z_j}(B' - \sum f'(E_i)) \leq 3$. If, moreover, we have $\mathrm{mult}_{z_j}(B' - \sum f'(E_i)) \leq 2$, then z_j is a negligible singularity of the branch divisor B'; the singularity z_j of B' decomposes into a sum of points of multiplicity at most 2 by the blowing up at z_j. Thus we obtain $\mathrm{mult}_{z_j}(B' - \sum f'(E_i)) = 3$, hence $(B' - \sum f'(E_i)) \cap f'(E_j) = \{z_j\}$ and $\mathrm{mult}_{z_j} B' = 4$. Let $q_1 \circ q_2 : Z'_2 \to Z'$ be the blowing up at z_1 and z_2, and $\varepsilon_j = (q_1 \circ q_2)^{-1}(z_j)$, the (-1)-curve corresponding to z_j. Then by the same method as in [7, Section 2], we infer that the divisor $B'_2 = (q_1 \circ q_2)^* B' - 4\sum_{i=1,2} E_i$ has at most negligible singularities, and that the surface $\tilde{Y}$ gives the canonical resolution of the double cover with branch divisor B'. It follows that $B = r'_* B'$ has $[3,3]$-points at $r'(f'(E_1))$ and $r'(f'(E_2))$, that the divisor B has no essential singularities except for these two $[3,3]$-points, and that the surface Y gives the minimal desingularization of the double cover with branch divisor B. Now all we have left is the linear equivalence $B \sim -4K_W$, which, however, is trivial by iii) in Lemma 3.13. □

Lemma 3.16. *Let* $r' : Z' \to W$ *be the blowing down given in Lemma 3.15. Then the surface* W *is the Hirzebruch surface* Σ_d *of degree* $d = 0$ *or* 2*. The action by* $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ *on* Z' *induces one on* W*, of which fixed locus is a set of four isolated points. Further, none of these four fixed points lies on the branch divisor* B*.*

Proof. The action by G on Z' induces one on W, since the divisor $f'(E_1) + f'(E_2)$ is stable under the action by G. Note that the anticanonical system $|-K_{Z'}|$ has no fixed components. From this together with $K_W^2 = K_Z^2 + 2 = 8$, we see that $W = \Sigma_d$ for a certain integer $0 \leq d \leq 2$.

Let us show that the class of Γ, a fiber of the Hirzebruch surface $W = \Sigma_d \to \mathbb{P}^1$, is stable under the action by G on W. If the class of Γ is not

stable, then we see that $d = 0$ and that the generator of G maps Γ to a member of the linear system $|\Delta_0|$. It follows that there exists an irreducible member $\Delta \in |\Delta_0 + \Gamma|$ contained in the fixed locus of the action by G on W. We have $\Delta \cap B \neq \emptyset$, since Δ is a 2-curve. Meanwhile since the Galois group G acts transitively on the set $\{r'(f'(E_1)), r'(f'(E_2))\}$, neither $r'(f'(E_1))$ nor $r'(f'(E_2))$ lies on Δ. Thus by Lemma 3.15, every point in $\Delta \cap B$ is at most a negligible singularity of B. Then the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5 leads us to a contradiction. Hence the class of Γ is stable.

Now let us show the assertions. The argument above shows that the action by G on W induces one on $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the natural fibration of the Hirzebruch surface $W = \Sigma_d \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Note that if this induced action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is trivial, then there exists an irreducible member $\Delta_1 \in |\Delta_0 + d\Gamma|$ contained in the fixed locus of the action by G on W, which, together with the same argument as in the case of Δ above, leads us to a contradiction. Thus the induced action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is non -trivial. It follows there exists exactly two members, say Γ_1 and Γ_2, of $|\Gamma|$ stable under the action on W. The same argument as in the case of Δ above shows that the induced action on Γ_i is non-trivial for each $i = 1$, 2. Thus we see that $d \neq 1$, and that if $d = 0$ or 2, then the fixed locus of the induced action on W is a set of four isolated points. The absence of the fixed points lying on B follows from the same argument as in the case of Δ above. □

By Lemmas 3.15 and 3.16, we see that if Φ_L lifts to $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$, and if $f'(E_1) \cap f'(E_2) = \emptyset$, then our surface X has the structure as in Theorem 1. Let us check that these two conditions are in fact satisfied. To do this, we study the arrangement of (-1)-curves and (-2)-curves on Z', and use Lemmas 3.12 and 3.13.

Let $r_i : Z'_i \to Z'_{i-1}$, where $-2 \leq i \leq 0$, be the blowing up such that $r = (r_{-2} \circ r_{-1} \circ r_0) : Z'_0 = Z' \to Z'_{-3} = \mathbb{P}^2$ holds. We denote by $z_i \in Z'_{i-1}$ and $\varepsilon_i = r_i^{-1}(z_i)$, the center of the blowing up r_i and its corresponding (-1)-curve, respectively. For each $-2 \leq i \leq 0$, we denote by ε'_i the strict transform to Z' of the exceptional curve ε_i. For the total transform to Z' of ε_i, we use the same symbol ε_i.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $m \leq 2$ be a non-negative integer, and C, a $(-m)$-curve on Z' not exceptional with respect to $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Then C is a strict transform to Z' of a line on $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing exactly $m+1$ of the tree points z_i's $(-2 \leq i \leq 0)$.*

Proof. Let l be a line on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then we have $C \sim m_0 r^*(l) - \sum_{i=-2}^{0} n_i \varepsilon_i$ for certain integers $m_0 \geq 1$ and $n_i \geq 0$'s. Note that $C^2 = -m$ and $-K_{Z'}C =$

$2-m$, since C is a $(-m)$-curve. Thus we have

$$m_0^2 - \sum_{i=-2}^{0} n_i^2 = -m, \qquad 3m_0 - \sum_{i=-2}^{0} n_i = -m+2. \tag{12}$$

From these equalities, we infer

$$5\sum_{i=-2}^{0} n_i^2 + \sum_{-2\leq i<j\leq 0} (n_i - n_j)^2 + \sum_{i=-2}^{0} (n_i + m - 2)^2 = 9m + 4(m-2)^2 \leq 18,$$

hence $\sum_{i=-2}^{0} n_i^2 \leq 3$. Thus we have $n_i^2 = n_i$ for any $-2 \leq i \leq 0$. By this together with equalities (12), we obtain $m_0 = 1$ and $\sum_{i=-2}^{0} n_i = m+1$. Thus we have the assertion. □

We study the arrangement of (-1)-curves and (-2)-curves on Z' according to the configuration of the centers z_i's of the blowing up $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$. First, we study the case where no two of the centers z_{-2}, z_{-1}, and z_0 are infinitely near. This case is divided into two cases: case 2-1-1) and case 2-1-2).

Case 2-1-1): the case where the centers z_{-2}, z_{-1}, and z_0 are not collinear. In this case, the surface Z has no (-2)-curves. Thus Φ_L lifts to a morphism $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. There exist exactly six (-1)-curves: ε_{-2}, ε_{-1}, ε_0, $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$, $r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})$, and $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,0})$, where $l_{i,j}$ denotes the line on $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing z_i and z_j. Let $(X_0 : X_1 : X_2)$ be homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$ satisfying $z_{-2} = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $z_{-1} = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and $z_0 = (0 : 0 : 1)$. For each $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$, we denote by $\varphi_{(a,b)}$ the automorphism of Z' corresponding to the projective transformation $(X_0 : X_1 : X_2) \mapsto (X_0 : aX_1 : bX_2)$.

Let us study the induced action by G on Z'. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(Z')$ be the group of analytic automorphisms of the surface Z', and D_6, the dihedral group of degree 6. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}(Z') \to D_6 \to 0,$$

where the morphism $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}(Z')$ is given by $(a, b) \mapsto \varphi_{(a,b)}$, and the morphism $\mathrm{Aut}(Z') \to D_6$ corresponds to the transitions of six (-1)-curves on Z'. Let φ_σ and φ_τ be the automorphisms of Z' corresponding to the Cremona transformation $(X_0 : X_1 : X_2) \mapsto (X_2X_0 : X_0X_1 : X_1X_2)$ and the morphism $(X_0 : X_1 : X_2) \mapsto (X_0 : X_2 : X_1)$, respectively. Then we have

$$(\varphi_\sigma)^6 = \mathrm{id}_{Z'} \qquad (\varphi_\tau)^2 = \mathrm{id}_{Z'} \qquad \varphi_\sigma \circ \varphi_\tau \circ \varphi_\sigma \circ \varphi_\tau = \mathrm{id}_{Z'}.$$

Thus the short exact sequence above splits. We denote by σ and τ the image by $\mathrm{Aut}(Z') \to D_6$ of φ_σ and φ_τ, respectively. We have a group homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(Z')$ corresponding to the action by G on Z'. Composing

this homomorphism with $\mathrm{Aut}(Z') \to D_6$, we obtain a group homomorphism $\alpha : G \to D_6$. Note that, by Lemma 3.13, the morphism α is an injection of G into D_6. Hence the image $\alpha(G)$ is conjugate to $\langle\tau\rangle$, $\langle\sigma^3\tau\rangle$, or $\langle\sigma^3\rangle$ in D_6.

Assume that the image $\alpha(G)$ is conjugate to $\langle\tau\rangle$ in D_6. Replacing the morphism $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ if necessary, we may assume that $\alpha(G) = \langle\tau\rangle$. Then since the Galois group G acts transitively on the set $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\}$, we have $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1}), r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,0})\}$ or $\{\varepsilon_{-1}, \varepsilon_0\}$, hence $f'(E_1) \cap f'(E_2) = \emptyset$. It follows that the surface W, where $r' : Z' \to W$ is the blowing down of the two (-1)-curves $f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$, is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface Σ_1, which contradicts Lemma 3.16. Thus $\alpha(G)$ is not conjugate to $\langle\tau\rangle$.

Assume that the image $\alpha(G)$ is conjugate to $\langle\sigma^3\tau\rangle$ in D_6. Then the blowing down of the two (-1)-curves ε_{-2} and $r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})$ gives a birational morphism $r'' : Z' \to \Sigma_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfying $r''_*(\varepsilon_0) \sim r''_*(r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})) \sim \Delta_0$ and $r''_*(\varepsilon_{-1}) \sim r''_*(r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,0})) \sim \Gamma$. Note that the action by G on Z' induces one on $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We take homogeneous coordinates $((\xi_0 : \xi_1), (\eta_0 : \eta_1))$ of $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in such a way that $r''(\varepsilon_{-2}) = ((1 : 0), (1 : 0))$ and $r''(r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})) = ((0 : 1), (0 : 1))$ hold, and that the automorphism of Σ_0 corresponding to the generator ι of G is given by $((\xi_0 : \xi_1), (\eta_0 : \eta_1)) \mapsto ((\eta_1 : \eta_0), (\xi_1 : \xi_0))$. Since we have $-K_{Z'} \sim r''^*(-K_{\Sigma_0}) - \varepsilon_{-2} - r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})$, the space $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-2K_{Z'}))$ corresponds to a certain subspace V of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_0}(-2K_{\Sigma_0}))$. Every element in V is a homogeneous polynomial $\psi(\xi_0, \xi_1, \eta_0, \eta_0)$ of bidegree $(4, 4)$ vanishing with multiplicity at least 2 at $((1 : 0), (1 : 0))$ and $((0 : 1), (0 : 1))$.

Note that we have a natural inclusion $V \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(2K_Y))$, since we have $L \sim (r'' \circ f')^* D'$. We denote by ϕ the restriction to V of the natural action by G on $H^0_Y(\mathcal{O}(2K_Y))$. Let $\phi'(\iota)$ be the automorphism of V given by $\psi(\xi_0, \xi_1, \eta_0, \eta_0) \mapsto \psi(\eta_1, \eta_0, \xi_1, \xi_0)$. Then $\iota \mapsto \phi'(\iota)$, where ι is the generator of the Galois group G, gives another action ϕ' by G on V. Note that, for any $g \in G$ and $\psi \in V$, the two elements $\phi(g)\psi$ and $\phi'(g)\psi$ defines the same divisor on Σ_0. From this we infer that $\phi = c\phi'$ for a certain character $c \in \mathrm{Char}(G)$.

Now let V^+ be the set of all elements in V stable under the action ϕ'. Then by $\phi = c\phi'$, we see that $V^+ \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2K_X - T_c))$ for a torsion divisor $T_c \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ corresponding to the character c. Meanwhile, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2K_X - T_c)) = \chi + K_X^2 = 11$. The space V^+, however, has a base consisting of twelve elements:

$$\xi_0^i \xi_1^{4-i} \eta_0^j \eta_1^{4-j} + \xi_0^{4-j} \xi_1^j \eta_0^{4-i} \eta_1^i \qquad (0 \leq i, \quad 0 \leq j, \quad 2 \leq i + j \leq 4).$$

This contradicts the inequality $\dim V^+ \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2K_X - T_c))$. Hence, the image $\alpha(G)$ is not conjugate to $\langle\sigma^3\tau\rangle$ in D_6.

Thus we have $\alpha(G) = \langle \sigma^3 \rangle$. Hence, replacing $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ if necessary, we may assume that $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_{-2}, r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})\}$. Then the surface W as in Lemma 3.15, obtained by blowing down the two (-1)-curves $f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$ of Z', is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface Σ_0. Thus by Lemmas 3.15 and 3.16, our surface X, in case 2-1-1), has the structure as in the case $d = 0$ in Theorem 1.

Case 2-1-2): the case where three points z_{-2}, z_{-1}, and z_0 are collinear. Let $l_{-2,-1}$ be the line on $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing the tree points z_i's above. Then the strict transform $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$ is the unique (-2)-curve on Z'. Hence by Lemma 3.12, the morphism $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. Meanwhile the surface Z' has exactly three (-1)-curves: ε_{-2}, ε_{-1}, and ε_0. Replacing $r : Z' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ if necessary, we may assume $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_{-2}, \varepsilon_{-1}\}$ by Lemma 3.13. Let $r' : Z' \to W$ be the blowing down, as in Lemma 3.15, of the two (-1)-curves $f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$. Then we have $W = \Sigma_1$, $r'_*(\varepsilon_0) = \Delta_0$, and $r'_*(r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})) \sim \Gamma$, which contradicts Lemma 3.16. Thus case 2-1-2) does not occur.

Next, we study the case where z_{-2} and z_{-1} are distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^2$, and z_0 is infinitely near to z_{-1}. We denote by $l_{-2,-1}$ the unique line on $\mathbb{P}^2$ passing z_{-2} and z_{-1}. This case is divided into two cases: case 2-2-1) and case 2-2-2).

Case 2-2-1): the case where z_0 does not lie on the strict transform $(r_{-2} \circ r_{-1})_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$ of $l_{-2,-1}$ by $r_{-2} \circ r_{-1}$. Let $l_{-1,0}$ be the unique line on $\mathbb{P}^2$ whose strict transform $(r_{-2} \circ r_{-1})_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})$ by $r_{-2} \circ r_{-1}$ passes z_0. Then the surface Z' has a unique (-2)-curve ε'_{-1}, and exactly four (-1)-curves ε_{-2}, ε_0, $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$, and $r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})$. Hence, by Lemma 3.12, the morphism $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. Note that $\{\varepsilon_0, r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})\}$ is the set of all (-1)-curves intersecting the unique (-2)-curve ε'_{-1}. Thus we have $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_0, r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})\}$ or $\{\varepsilon_{-2}, r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})\}$, hence, in particular, $f'(E_1) \cap f'(E_2) = \emptyset$. We denote by $r' : Z' \to W$ the blowing down, as in Lemma 3.15, of the two (-1)-curves $f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$. If $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_0, r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})\}$, then we have $W = \Sigma_0$, $r'_*(\varepsilon'_{-1}) \sim \Delta_0$ and $r'_*(\varepsilon_{-2}) \sim r'_*(r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})) \sim \Gamma$. If, on the other hand, $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_{-2}, r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})\}$, then we have $W = \Sigma_2$, $r'_*(\varepsilon'_{-1}) = \Delta_0$, and $r'_*(\varepsilon_0) \sim r'_*(r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})) \sim \Gamma$. Thus by lemmas 3.15 and 3.16, our surface X, in case 2-2-1), has the structure as in the case $d = 0$ or the case $d = 2$ in Theorem 1, according as $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_0, r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})\}$ or $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_{-2}, r_*^{-1}(l_{-1,0})\}$ respectively.

Case 2-2-2): the case where z_0 lies on the strict transform $(r_{-2} \circ r_{-1})_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$. In this case, the surface Z' has exactly two (-2)-curves ε'_{-1} and $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$, and exactly two (-1)-curves ε_{-2} and ε_0. Note that every (-2)-curve on Z' is stable under the action by G on Z'; the divisor $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$ is the unique (-2)-curve intersecting all (-1)-curves on Z'. Thus by Lemma 3.12, the mor-

phism $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_{-2}, \varepsilon_0\}$. This, however, contradicts the transitivity of the action by G on $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\}$, since ε_0 is the unique (-2)-curve intersecting all (-1)-curves on Z'. Thus case 2-2-2) does not occur.

Finally, we study the case where z_{-1} is infinitely near to z_{-2}, and z_0 is infinitely near to z_{-1}. We denote by $l_{-2,-1}$ the unique line on $\mathbb{P}^2$ whose strict transform $(r_{-2})_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$ passes z_{-1}. Note that Z' has no (-3)-curves, since the linear system $|-K_{Z'}|$ has no fixed components. Thus the point z_0 does not lie on the strict transform $(r_{-1})_*^{-1}(\varepsilon_{-2})$. This case is divided into two cases: case 2-3-1) and case 2-3-2).

Case 2-3-1): the case where z_0 does not lie on the strict transform $(r_{-2} \circ r_{-1})_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$. In this case, the surface Z' has exactly two (-2)-curves ε'_{-2} and ε'_{-1}, and exactly two (-1)-curves ε_0 and $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$. Since ε'_{-2} is the unique (-2)-curve intersecting no (-1)-curves on Z', every (-2)-curve is stable under the action by G on Z'. Thus by Lemma 3.12, the morphism $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\{f'(E_1), f'(E_2)\} = \{\varepsilon_0, r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})\}$, hence $f'(E_1) \cap f'(E_2) = \emptyset$. Let $r' : Z' \to W$ be the blowing down, as in Lemma 3.15, of the two (-1)-curves $f'(E_1)$ and $f'(E_2)$. Then we have $W = \Sigma_2$, $r'_*(\varepsilon'_{-2}) = \Delta_0$, and $r'_*(\varepsilon'_{-1}) \sim \Gamma$. Thus by Lemmas 3.15 and 3.16, our surface X, in case 2-3-1), has the structure as in the case $d = 2$ in Theorem 1.

Case 2-3-2): the case where z_0 lies on the strict transform $(r_{-2} \circ r_{-1})_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$. In this case, the surface Z' has exactly three (-2)-curves ε'_{-2}, ε'_{-1}, and $r_*^{-1}(l_{-2,-1})$, and a unique (-1)-curve ε_0. Note that ε'_{-2} is the unique (-2)-curve intersecting no (-1)-curves on Z', and that ε'_{-1} is the unique (-2)-curve intersecting ε'_{-2}. Thus every (-2)-curve on Z' is stable under the action by G on Z'. Thus by Lemma 3.12, the morphism $\Phi_L : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ lifts to $f' : \tilde{Y} \to Z'$. This, however, contradicts Lemma 3.13, since ε_0 is the unique (-1)-curve on Z'. Hence, case 2-3-2) does not occur.

Thus we have the following:

Proposition 9. *Assume that the surface Y is of case 2) in Proposition 2. Then $\lambda = 4$. Further, the surface X in this case has the structure as in Theorem 1.*

4 The case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$

In this section, we exclude the case $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$ and give a proof for Theorem 1. In what follows, we assume $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 1$. Note, by Proposition 1, we have $\lambda = 4$, hence $K_Y^2 = 14$, $p_g(Y) = 7$, and $q(Y) = 0$. Thus our Y is a canonical

surface whose invariant lies on the Castelnuovo line. By [1, Lemma 1.1], the canonical system $|K_Y|$ is free from base points; hence the canonical map $\Phi_{K_Y} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^n$, where $n = 2\lambda - 2 = 6$, is a morphism. In what follows, we frequently use results given in [1].

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the intersection of all quadrics containing the canonical image $Z = \Phi_{K_Y}(Y)$. By [1, Section 1], we obtain the following:

Proposition 10. *Let V be the variety defined above. Then either of the following holds*:

1) *V is the image by Φ_T of the variety $V' = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$, where Φ_T is the morphism associated with a tautological divisor T of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $v' : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) \to \mathbb{P}^2$;*

2) *V is the image by Φ_T of the variety $V' = \mathbb{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i))$, where Φ_T is the morphism associated with a tautological divisor T of the $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle $v' : \mathbb{P}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)) \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i = n - 2$.*

First, we exclude case 1) in the proposition above.

Proposition 11. *Case 1) in Proposition 10 does not occur.*

Proof. Assume that our V is as in case 1) in Proposition 10. Then V is a cone over the Veronese surface. Let p_0 be the vertex of V, and Λ, the linear system consisting of pull backs by Φ_{K_Y} of all hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing p_0. We denote by Λ_0 and G_0 its variable part and fixed part respectively. By [1, Proof of Claim I], the linear system Λ_0 is free from base points and induces $\Phi_{\Lambda_0} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, a morpshism of mapping degree 3 onto its image. The image $\Phi_{\Lambda_0}(Y)$ is the Veronese surface, i.e., the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^5$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. Note that, by the definition of V, the varity V and its vertex p_0 are stable under the action by $G = \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$. This implies that the subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y))$ corresponding to Λ is stable under the action by G on $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y))$. Thus the action by G on Y induces one on $\Phi_{\Lambda_0}(Y) = \mathbb{P}^2$. Now let us derrive a contradiction. Since $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$, the fixed locus of this induced action contains a line, say l_0, on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then the divsor $\Phi^*_{\Lambda_0}(l_0)$, stable under the action by G, is a pull back by $\pi : Y \to X$ of that on X. We, however, have $\Phi^*_{\Lambda_0}(l_0)^2 = \deg \Phi_{\Lambda_0} = 3$, which contradicts $\deg \pi = 2$. Thus we have the assertion. □

Next, we exclude case 2) in Proposition 10.

Lemma 4.1. *If the variety V is as in case 2) of Proposition 10, then $a_0 = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that our variety V is as in case 2) in Proposintion 10, and that $a_0 > 0$. Then $\Phi_T : V' \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is an embedding. We identify V and V' by Φ_T. By the same arguement as in the proof of Proposintion 11, we see that

the variety V is stable under the action by G on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let P be a fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle $v' : V = V' \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Then P and T generate the Picard group of V. Using this, we see easily that if a divisor P' on V satisfies $P'^3 = K_V P'^2 = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_V(P')) = 2$, then $P' \sim P$. Thus the class of P is stable under the action by G on V. It follows that this action induces one on $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the projection $v' : V = V' \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and that there exsits a member $P_0 \in |P|$ stable under the action on V. Now let us derrive a contradiction. Since $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$, the fixed locus of the action by G on $P_0 = \mathbb{P}^2$ contains a line l_0. Hence the action on Z has a fixed points. By [1, Theorem 1.5], however, the surface Z has at most rational double points as its singularities. Thus, by the same arguement as in the proof of Proposition 5, we infer that the action on Y has fixed points, which contradicts the definition of $\pi : Y \to X$. □

Proposition 12. *Case* 2) *in Proposition 10 does not occur.*

Proof. Assume that our V is as in case 2) in Proposition 10. Then by Lemma 4.1 and [1, Claim II], we have $a_0 = 0$ and $a_1 > 0$. It follows that our V is a cone over the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{a_2-a_1}$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by $|\Delta_0 + a_2\Gamma|$. Let p_0 be the vertex of V, and Λ, the linear system consisting of the pull backs by Φ_{K_Y} of all hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing p_0. We denote by Λ_0 and G_0 the variable part and the fixed part of Λ respectively. By [1, Proof of Claim II], the linear system Λ_0 is free from base points and induces $\Phi_{\Lambda_0} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, a morphism of degree 3 onto its image. The image $\Phi_{\Lambda_0}(Y)$ is the Hirzebruch surface $\Sigma_{a_2-a_1}$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by $|\Delta_0 + a_2\Gamma|$. By the same arguement as in the proof of Proposition 11, we see that the action by G on Y induces one on $\Sigma_{a_2-a_1}$. The class of $\Delta_0 + a_2\Gamma$ and that of $-K_{\Sigma_{a_2-a_1}}$ are stable under this induced action on $\Sigma_{a_2-a_1}$; hence so are the class of Δ_0 and that of Γ. Thus there exist members $\Delta_1 \in |\Delta_0|$ and $\Gamma_1 \in |\Gamma|$ stable under the action on $\Sigma_{a_2-a_1}$. Then from $\Phi^*_{\Lambda_0}(\Delta_1)\Phi^*_{\Lambda_0}(\Gamma_1) = \deg \Phi_{\Lambda_0} = 3$, we derrive a contradiction by the same arguement as in the proof of Proposition 11. Thus we have the assertion □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1.

By Propositions 1, 10, 11, and 12, we have $\deg \Phi_{K_Y} = 2$. Thus Theorem 1 follows from Propsitions 2, 5, 6, 8, and 9. □

5 Appendix

Proof of Proposition 3. Let us prove Proposition 3. The method we employ here is the same as the one used in [11, Proof of Lemma 4.5], to which we refer the readers for details of the following argument. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, where

$n \geq 4$, be a non-degenerate surface satisfying the assumptions in Proposition 3, and $Z' \to Z$, its minimal desingularization. Since we have $\deg Z < 2n-2$ and $Z' \to Z$ is given by a complete linear system $|D'|$, the surface Z' is a rational surface not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Thus, for an integer d, the surface Z' admits a birational morphism $r : Z' \to \Sigma_d = Z'_0$ onto the Hirzebruch surface Σ_d of degree d. Let D'_0 be a general member of the linear system $r_*|D'|$, and ε'_i's, the total transforms to Z' of the (-1)-curves appearing at the blowing-ups in $Z' \to Z$. Then we have $D' \sim r^*D'_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i\varepsilon'_i$, where m_i's, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists an $r : Z' \to Z'_0$ as above such that, for any i's, equality $m_i = 1$ holds.*

Proof of Lemma. Note that the general member D' is a non-singular irreducible curve on Z'. If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{D'}(D')) > 0$, then by Clifford's theorem on special divisors, we have $D'^2 \geq 2(h^0(\mathcal{O}_{D'}(D')) - 1)$, which contradicts $n \geq 4$. Thus we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{D'}(D')) = 0$. From this together with the natural short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(D') \to \mathcal{O}_{D'}(D') \to 0$ and Riemann-Roch theorem, we infer

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(D')) = n + 1,$$

$D'K_{Z'} = D'^2 + 2(1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(D'))) = 1 - n$, and $(K_{Z'} + D'/2)D' = (3-n)/2 < 0$. Thus by Cone Theorem, we find that if Z' is not the Hirzebruch surface, then there exists a (-1)-curve ε' on Z' satisfying $(K_{Z'} + D'/2)\varepsilon' < 0$. Since $Z' \to Z$ contracts no (-1)-curves, we obtain $D'\varepsilon' = 1$. Let $r' : Z' \to Z''$ be the blowing down of ε'. We put $D'' = r'_*(D')$. If Z'' is not the Hirzebruch surface, then the same argument as above ensures the existence of a (-1)-curve ε'' on Z'' satisfying $D''\varepsilon'' = 1$ (for the detail, see [11, Lemma 4.4]). We can repeat the same steps until we obtain the Hirzebruch surface. □

In what follows, we assume our r satisfies the condition in the lemma above, hence $D' \sim r^*D'_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \varepsilon'_i$. We put $D'_0 \sim a\Delta_0 + b\Gamma$, where if $d = 0$, we chose Δ_0 and Γ in such a way that $b \geq a$. Then by $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(D')) = n + 1$ and $D'^2 = n + 1$, we obtain the following three equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} n + 1 &= D'(D' - K_{Z'})/2 + 1 = (a+1)(b - ad/2) + a - s + 1, \\ n + 1 &= 2a(b - ad/2) - s, \qquad (13) \\ 0 &= D'^2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(D')) = (a-1)(b - ad/2) - (a+1). \qquad (14) \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have $b - ad/2 \geq a$ if $d \neq 1$, and that $b - ad/2 \geq a/2$ if $d = 1$. Thus by (13) and (14), we find $a = 2$, $b = d + 3$, and $s = 11 - n$, hence $D' \sim -K_{Z'} + r^*\Gamma$. Since $|D'_0|$ has no fixed components, we obtain $d \leq 3$. □

References

[1] Ashikaga, T., Konno, K. Algebraic surfaces of general type with $c_1^2 = 3p_g - 7$, *Tohoku. Math. J.*, **42** (1990), no. 4, 517–536.

[2] Bartalesi, A., Catanese, F. Surfaces with $K^2 = 6$, $\chi = 4$, and with torsion. Conference on algebraic varieties of small dimension (Turin, 1985), *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, **1986, Special Issue** (1987), 91–110.

[3] Barth, W, Peters, C., Van de Ven, A. Compact Complex Surfaces, *Springer-Verlag*, (1984).

[4] Catanese, F., Debarre, O. Surfaces with $K^2 = 2$, $p_g = 1$, $q = 0$, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, **395** (1989), 1–55.

[5] Ciliberto, C., Mendes Lopes, M. On surfaces of general type with $K^2 = 2\chi - 2$ and non-trivial torsion, *Geom. Dedicata*, **66** (1997), no. 3, 313–329.

[6] Ciliberto, C., Mendes Lopes, M. On regular surfaces of general type with $p_g = 3$ and non-birational bicanonical map, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **40** (2000), no. 1, 79–117.

[7] Horikawa, E. On deformations of quintic surfaces, *Invent. Math.*, **31** (1975), 43–85.

[8] Horikawa, E. Algebraic surfaces of general type with small c_1^2. I, *Ann. of Math.*, **104** (1976), no. 2, 358–387.

[9] Horikawa, E. Algebraic surfaces of general type with small c_1^2. III, *Invent. Math.*, **47** (1978), no. 3, 209–248.

[10] Horikawa, E. Algebraic surfaces of general type with small c_1^2. IV, *Invent. Math.*, **50** (1978/79), no. 2, 103–128.

[11] Konno, K. Even canonical surfaces with small K^2, I, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **129** (1993), 115–146.

[12] Miyaoka, Y. Tricanonical Maps of Numerical Godeaux Surfaces, *Invent. Math.*, **34** (1976), no. 2, 99–111.

[13] Murakami, M. Minimal algebraic surfaces of general type with $c_1^2 = 3$, $p_g = 1$ and $q = 0$, which have non-trivial 3-torsion divisors, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **43** (2003), no. 1, 203–215.

[14] Murakami, M. A bound for the orders of the torsion groups of surfaces with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$, *Math. Z.*, **253** (2006), no. 2, 251–262

[15] Nagata, M. On rational surfaces I, *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A* , **32** (1960), 351–370.

[16] Reid, M. Surfaces with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 1$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, **25** (1978), no. 1, 75–92.

Masaaki Murakami
Department of Mathematics
Graduate School of Science
Osaka University,
Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
murakami@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp